

Predicate Logic

- *Terms* represent specific objects in the *world* and can be constants, variables or functions.
- *Predicate Symbols* refer to a particular relation among objects.
- *Sentences* represent facts, and are made of of *terms*, *quantifiers* and *predicate symbols*.

Predicate Logic

- *Functions* allow us to refer to objects indirectly (via some relationship).
- *Quantifiers* and *variables* allow us to refer to a collection of objects without explicitly naming each object.

Some Examples

- Predicates: Brother, Sister, Mother , Father
- Objects: Bill, Hillary, Chelsea, Roger
- Facts expressed as atomic sentences a.k.a. literals:

Father(Bill,Chelsea)

Mother(Hillary,Chelsea)

Brother(Bill,Roger)

Ø Father(Bill,Chelsea)

Variables and Universal Quantification

- Universal Quantification allows us to make a statement about a collection of objects:

“For All” → $\forall x \text{ Cat}(x) \supset \text{Mammal}(x)$
All cats are mammals

→ $\forall x \text{ Father}(\text{Bill}, x) \supset \text{Mother}(\text{Hillary}, x)$
All of Bill’s kids are also Hillary’s kids.

Variables and Existential Quantification

- Existential Quantification allows us to state that an object does exist (without naming it):

“There exists” → $\exists x \text{ Cat}(x) \wedge \text{Mean}(x)$
There is a mean cat.

$\exists x \text{ Father}(\text{Bill}, x) \wedge \text{Mother}(\text{Hillary}, x)$

There is a kid whose father is Bill and whose mother is Hillary

Nested Quantification

" x, y Parent(x, y) **∩** Child(y, x)

" x **∃** y Loves(x, y)

" x [Passtest(x) **∩** (**∃** x ShootDave(x))]

Functions

- Functions are terms - they refer to a specific object.
- We can use functions to symbolically refer to objects without naming them.
- Examples:

fatherof(x) *age(x)* *times(x,y)* *succ(x)*

Using functions

" x Equal(x, x)

Equal(*factorial*(0), 1)

" x Equal(*factorial*($s(x)$),
times($s(x)$, *factorial*(x)))

Representing facts with Predicate Logic - Example

- Marcus was a man
- Marcus was a Pompeian
- All Pompeians were Romans
- Caesar was a ruler.
- All Romans were either loyal to Caesar or hated him.
- Everyone is loyal to someone.
- Men only try to assassinate rulers they are not loyal to.
- Marcus tried to assassinate Caesar

Predicate Logic Knowledgebase

Man(Marcus)

Pompeian(Marcus)

" x Pompeian(x) **P** Roman(x)

Ruler(Caesar)

" x Romans(x) **P** Loyalto(x ,Caesar) **U** Hate(x ,Caesar)

" x **S** y Loyalto(x , y)

" x " y Man(x) **U** Ruler(y) **U** Tryassassinate(x , y) **P**
ØLoyalto(x , y)

Tryassassinate(Marcus,Caesar)

Questions (Goals)

Was Marcus a Roman?

Was Marcus loyal to Caesar?

Who was Marcus loyal to?

Was Marcus a ruler?

Will the test be easy?

Isa and Instance relationships

- The example uses inheritance without explicitly having *isa* or *instance* predicates.
- We could rewrite the facts using *isa* and *instance* explicitly:

instance(Marcus,man)

instance(Marcus,Pompeian)

isa(Pompeian,Roman)

Quiz

Using the predicates:

Father(x,y) Mother(x,y) Brother(x,y) Sister(x,y)

Construct predicate logic facts that establish the following relationships:

- GrandParent
- GrandFather
- GrandMother
- Uncle
- Cousin

Proof procedure for Predicate Logic

- Same idea, but a few added complexities:
 - conversion to CNF is much more complex.
 - Matching of literals requires providing a matching of variables, constants and/or functions.

Ø Skates(x) **Ú** LikesHockey(x)

Ø LikesHockey(y)

We can resolve these only if we assume x and y refer to the same object.

Predicate Logic and CNF

- Converting to CNF is harder - we need to worry about variables and quantifiers.
 1. Eliminate all implications **\rightarrow**
 2. Reduce the scope of all **\forall** to single term. *
 3. Make all variable names unique
 4. Move Quantifiers Left *
 5. Eliminate Existential Quantifiers *
 6. Eliminate Universal Quantifiers *
 7. Convert to conjunction of disjuncts
 8. Create separate clause for each conjunct.

Eliminate Existential Quantifiers

- Any variable that is existentially quantified means we are saying there is some value for that variable that makes the expression true.
- To eliminate the quantifier, we can replace the variable with a function.
- We don't know what the function is, we just know it exists.

Skolem functions

$\exists y \text{ President}(y)$

We replace y with a new function func :

$\text{President}(\text{func}())$

func is called a skolem function.

In general the function must have the same number of arguments as the number of universal quantifiers in the current scope.

Skolemization Example

" $x \exists y$ Father(y, x)

create a new function named *foo* and replace *y* with the function.

" x Father(*foo*(x), x)

Predicate Logic Resolution

- We have to worry about the arguments to predicates, so it is harder to know when 2 literals match and can be used by resolution.
- For example, does the literal $\text{Father}(\text{Bill}, \text{Chelsea})$ match $\text{Father}(x, y)$?
- The answer depends on how we substitute values for variables.

Unification

- The process of finding a substitution for predicate parameters is called *unification*.
- We need to know:
 - that 2 literals can be matched.
 - the substitution is that makes the literals identical.
- There is a simple algorithm called the *unification algorithm* that does this.

The Unification Algorithm

1. Initial predicate symbols must match.
2. For each pair of predicate arguments:
 - different constants cannot match.
 - a variable may be replaced by a constant.
 - a variable may be replaced by another variable.
 - a variable may be replaced by a function as long as the function does not contain an instance of the variable.

Unification Algorithm

- When attempting to match 2 literals, all substitutions must be made to the entire literal.
- There may be many substitutions that unify 2 literals, the *most general unifier* is always desired.

Unification Example

“substitute x for y”

- $P(x)$ and $P(y)$: substitution = (x/y)
- $P(x,x)$ and $P(y,z)$: $(z/y)(y/x)$ *y for x, then z for y*
- $P(x,f(y))$ and $P(\text{Joe},z)$: $(\text{Joe}/x, f(y)/z)$
- $P(f(x))$ and $P(x)$: can't do it!
- $P(x) \hat{=} Q(\text{Jane})$ and $P(\text{Bill}) \hat{=} Q(y)$:
 $(\text{Bill}/x, \text{Jane}/y)$

Unification & Resolution

Examples

Father(Bill,Chelsea) θ Father(Bill,x) $\dot{\cup}$ Mother(Hillary,x)

Man(Marcus) θ Man(x) $\dot{\cup}$ Mortal(x)

Loves(*father*(a),a) θ Loves(x,y) $\dot{\cup}$ Loves(y,x)



This is a function

Predicate Logic Resolution Algorithm

- While no empty clause exists and there are clauses that can be resolved:
 - select 2 clauses that can be resolved.
 - resolve the clauses (after unification), apply the unification substitution to the result and store in the knowledge base.

Example:

$\emptyset \text{ Smart}(x) \hat{=} \emptyset \text{ LikesHockey}(x) \hat{=} \text{RPI}(x)$

$\emptyset \text{ Canadian}(y) \hat{=} \text{LikesHockey}(y)$

$\emptyset \text{ Skates}(z) \hat{=} \text{LikesHockey}(z)$

$\text{Smart}(\text{Joe})$

$\text{Skates}(\text{Joe})$

Goal is to find out if $\text{RPI}(\text{Joe})$ is true.

- Man(Marcus)
- Pompeian(Marcus)
- \emptyset Pompeian(x_1) \hat{U} Roman(x_1)
- Ruler(Caesar)
- \emptyset Romans(x_2) \hat{U} Loyalto(x_2 , Caesar) \hat{U} Hate(x_2 , Caesar)
- Loyalto(x_3 , $f(x_3)$)
- \emptyset Man(x_4) \hat{U} \emptyset Ruler(y_1) \hat{U} \emptyset Tryassassinate(x_4, y_1) \hat{U} Loyalto(x_4, y_1)
- PROVE: Tryassassinate(Marcus, Caesar)

Answering Questions

- We can also use the proof procedure to answer questions such as “who tried to assassinate Caesar” by proving:
 - $\text{Tryassassinate}(y, \text{Caesar})$.
 - Once the proof is complete we need to find out what substitution was made for y .

Computation

*s(x) is the integer
successor function*

$\text{Equal}(y, y)$

$\text{Equal}(\text{factorial}(s(x)), \text{times}(s(x), \text{factorial}(x)))$

...assume $s(_)$ and $\text{times}(_, _)$ can compute.

We can ask for 10!:

$\text{Equal}(\text{factorial}(10), z)$

Test Type Question

- The members of a bridge club are Joe, Sally, Bill and Ellen.
- Joe is married to Sally.
- Bill is Ellen's Brother.
- The spouse of every married person in the club is also in the club.
- The last meeting of the club was at Joe's house
- Was the last meeting at Sally's house?
- Is Ellen married?

Logic Programming - Prolog

- Prolog is a declarative programming language based on logic.
- A Prolog program is a list of facts.
- There are various predicates and functions supplied to support I/O, graphics, etc.
- Instead of CNF, prolog uses an implicative normal form: $A \mathbf{\hat{U}} B \mathbf{\hat{U}} \dots \mathbf{\hat{U}} C \mathbf{\hat{P}} D$

Prolog Example - Towers of Hanoi

```
hanoi(N) :- move(N,left,middle,right).
```

```
move(1,A,_,C) :- inform(A,C),!.
```

```
move(N,A,B,C) :-  
    N1=N-1, move(N1,A,C,B),  
    inform(A,C), move(N1,B,A,C).
```

```
inform(Loc1,Loc2) :-  
    write("Move disk from",Loc1," to", Loc2).
```

```
hanoi(3)
```